

Adams operations on hermitian forms

Nicolas Garrel

University of Antwerp

Squares in Dortmund

April 1 2025

Adams operations on quadratic forms

Let K be a field of characteristic not 2.

$W(K)$: Witt ring of K

$GW(K)$: Grothendieck-Witt ring

Adams operations on $GW(K)$:

$$q = \langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle = \langle a_1 \rangle + \dots + \langle a_n \rangle.$$

Let $d \in \mathbb{N}^*$. The Adams operation ψ^d is:

$$\begin{aligned}\psi^d(q) &= (\langle a_1 \rangle)^d + \dots + (\langle a_n \rangle)^d \\ &= \langle a_1^d, \dots, a_n^d \rangle \\ &= \begin{cases} q & \text{if } d \text{ is odd} \\ n & \text{if } d \text{ is even.} \end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

Adams operations on quadratic forms

Let K be a field of characteristic not 2.

$W(K)$: Witt ring of K

$GW(K)$: Grothendieck-Witt ring

Adams operations on $GW(K)$:

$$q = \langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle = \langle a_1 \rangle + \dots + \langle a_n \rangle.$$

Let $d \in \mathbb{N}^*$. The Adams operation ψ^d is:

$$\begin{aligned}\psi^d(q) &= (\langle a_1 \rangle)^d + \dots + (\langle a_n \rangle)^d \\ &= \langle a_1^d, \dots, a_n^d \rangle \\ &= \begin{cases} q & \text{if } d \text{ is odd} \\ n & \text{if } d \text{ is even.} \end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

Adams operations on quadratic forms

Let K be a field of characteristic not 2.

$W(K)$: Witt ring of K

$GW(K)$: Grothendieck-Witt ring

Adams operations on $GW(K)$:

$$q = \langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle = \langle a_1 \rangle + \dots + \langle a_n \rangle.$$

Let $d \in \mathbb{N}^*$. The Adams operation ψ^d is:

$$\begin{aligned}\psi^d(q) &= (\langle a_1 \rangle)^d + \dots + (\langle a_n \rangle)^d \\ &= \langle a_1^d, \dots, a_n^d \rangle \\ &= \begin{cases} q & \text{if } d \text{ is odd} \\ n & \text{if } d \text{ is even.} \end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

The idea of Adams operations

Let R be a commutative ring, and assume that $x \in R$ can be decomposed as a sum of "line elements":

$$x = \ell_1 + \cdots + \ell_n.$$

Then for any $d \in \mathbb{N}^*$:

$$\psi^d(x) = \ell_1^d + \cdots + \ell_n^d.$$

The idea of Adams operations

Let R be a commutative ring, and assume that $x \in R$ can be decomposed as a sum of "line elements":

$$x = \ell_1 + \cdots + \ell_n.$$

Then for any $d \in \mathbb{N}^*$:

$$\psi^d(x) = \ell_1^d + \cdots + \ell_n^d.$$

What is a line element?

Idea: from a decomposition $x = \ell_1 + \cdots + \ell_n$, one should be able to extract

$$f(x) = f(\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n)$$

for every "symmetric function" f . The Adams operation ψ^d corresponds to $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = x_1^d + \cdots + x_n^d$ (Newton sum).

Elementary symmetric functions:

$$\lambda^d(x) = \sum_{i_1 < \cdots < i_d} \ell_{i_1} \cdots \ell_{i_d}.$$

Example: $\lambda^2(\ell_1 + \ell_2 + \ell_3) = \ell_1\ell_2 + \ell_1\ell_3 + \ell_2\ell_3$.

Then a line element satisfies $\lambda^d(x) = 0$ if $d > 1$.

What is a line element?

Idea: from a decomposition $x = \ell_1 + \cdots + \ell_n$, one should be able to extract

$$f(x) = f(\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n)$$

for every "symmetric function" f . The Adams operation ψ^d corresponds to $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = x_1^d + \cdots + x_n^d$ (Newton sum).

Elementary symmetric functions:

$$\lambda^d(x) = \sum_{i_1 < \cdots < i_d} \ell_{i_1} \cdots \ell_{i_d}.$$

Example: $\lambda^2(\ell_1 + \ell_2 + \ell_3) = \ell_1\ell_2 + \ell_1\ell_3 + \ell_2\ell_3$.

Then a line element satisfies $\lambda^d(x) = 0$ if $d > 1$.

What is a line element?

Idea: from a decomposition $x = \ell_1 + \cdots + \ell_n$, one should be able to extract

$$f(x) = f(\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n)$$

for every "symmetric function" f . The Adams operation ψ^d corresponds to $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = x_1^d + \cdots + x_n^d$ (Newton sum).

Elementary symmetric functions:

$$\lambda^d(x) = \sum_{i_1 < \cdots < i_d} \ell_{i_1} \cdots \ell_{i_d}.$$

Example: $\lambda^2(\ell_1 + \ell_2 + \ell_3) = \ell_1\ell_2 + \ell_1\ell_3 + \ell_2\ell_3$.

Then a line element satisfies $\lambda^d(x) = 0$ if $d > 1$.

Pre- λ -rings

A pre- λ -ring structure on R is the data of

$$\lambda^d : R \rightarrow R$$

for $d \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\lambda^0(x) = 1$, $\lambda^1(x) = x$, and

$$\lambda^d(x + y) = \sum_{p+q=d} \lambda^p(x)\lambda^q(y).$$

If $\lambda_t(x) = \sum_d \lambda^d(x)t^d \in GW(K)[[t]]$, then $\lambda_t(x + y) = \lambda_t(x)\lambda_t(y)$.
An line element satisfies $\lambda^d(\ell) = 0$ for all $d > 1$, so $\lambda_t(\ell) = 1 + \ell t$.

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda^2(\ell_1 + \ell_2 + \ell_3 + \ell_4) \\ &= \ell_1\ell_2 + \ell_1\ell_3 + \ell_1\ell_4 + \ell_2\ell_3 + \ell_2\ell_4 + \ell_3\ell_4 \\ &= (\ell_1\ell_2) + (\ell_1 + \ell_2)(\ell_3 + \ell_4) + \ell_3\ell_4 \\ &= \lambda^2(\ell_1 + \ell_2) + \lambda^1(\ell_1 + \ell_2)\lambda^1(\ell_3 + \ell_4) + \lambda^2(\ell_3 + \ell_4). \end{aligned}$$

Pre- λ -rings

A pre- λ -ring structure on R is the data of

$$\lambda^d : R \rightarrow R$$

for $d \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\lambda^0(x) = 1$, $\lambda^1(x) = x$, and

$$\lambda^d(x + y) = \sum_{p+q=d} \lambda^p(x)\lambda^q(y).$$

If $\lambda_t(x) = \sum_d \lambda^d(x)t^d \in GW(K)[[t]]$, then $\lambda_t(x + y) = \lambda_t(x)\lambda_t(y)$.

An line element satisfies $\lambda^d(\ell) = 0$ for all $d > 1$, so $\lambda_t(\ell) = 1 + \ell t$.

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda^2(\ell_1 + \ell_2 + \ell_3 + \ell_4) \\ &= \ell_1\ell_2 + \ell_1\ell_3 + \ell_1\ell_4 + \ell_2\ell_3 + \ell_2\ell_4 + \ell_3\ell_4 \\ &= (\ell_1\ell_2) + (\ell_1 + \ell_2)(\ell_3 + \ell_4) + \ell_3\ell_4 \\ &= \lambda^2(\ell_1 + \ell_2) + \lambda^1(\ell_1 + \ell_2)\lambda^1(\ell_3 + \ell_4) + \lambda^2(\ell_3 + \ell_4). \end{aligned}$$

Pre- λ -rings

A pre- λ -ring structure on R is the data of

$$\lambda^d : R \rightarrow R$$

for $d \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\lambda^0(x) = 1$, $\lambda^1(x) = x$, and

$$\lambda^d(x + y) = \sum_{p+q=d} \lambda^p(x)\lambda^q(y).$$

If $\lambda_t(x) = \sum_d \lambda^d(x)t^d \in GW(K)[[t]]$, then $\lambda_t(x + y) = \lambda_t(x)\lambda_t(y)$.

An line element satisfies $\lambda^d(\ell) = 0$ for all $d > 1$, so $\lambda_t(\ell) = 1 + \ell t$.

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda^2(\ell_1 + \ell_2 + \ell_3 + \ell_4) \\ &= \ell_1\ell_2 + \ell_1\ell_3 + \ell_1\ell_4 + \ell_2\ell_3 + \ell_2\ell_4 + \ell_3\ell_4 \\ &= (\ell_1\ell_2) + (\ell_1 + \ell_2)(\ell_3 + \ell_4) + \ell_3\ell_4 \\ &= \lambda^2(\ell_1 + \ell_2) + \lambda^1(\ell_1 + \ell_2)\lambda^1(\ell_3 + \ell_4) + \lambda^2(\ell_3 + \ell_4). \end{aligned}$$

Pre- λ -rings

A pre- λ -ring structure on R is the data of

$$\lambda^d : R \rightarrow R$$

for $d \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\lambda^0(x) = 1$, $\lambda^1(x) = x$, and

$$\lambda^d(x + y) = \sum_{p+q=d} \lambda^p(x)\lambda^q(y).$$

If $\lambda_t(x) = \sum_d \lambda^d(x)t^d \in GW(K)[[t]]$, then $\lambda_t(x + y) = \lambda_t(x)\lambda_t(y)$.

An line element satisfies $\lambda^d(\ell) = 0$ for all $d > 1$, so $\lambda_t(\ell) = 1 + \ell t$.

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda^2(\ell_1 + \ell_2 + \ell_3 + \ell_4) \\ &= \ell_1\ell_2 + \ell_1\ell_3 + \ell_1\ell_4 + \ell_2\ell_3 + \ell_2\ell_4 + \ell_3\ell_4 \\ &= (\ell_1\ell_2) + (\ell_1 + \ell_2)(\ell_3 + \ell_4) + \ell_3\ell_4 \\ &= \lambda^2(\ell_1 + \ell_2) + \lambda^1(\ell_1 + \ell_2)\lambda^1(\ell_3 + \ell_4) + \lambda^2(\ell_3 + \ell_4). \end{aligned}$$

The previous axioms are not enough to ensure that the λ^d behave exactly like the elementary symmetric functions.

R is a λ -ring if certain additional axioms are satisfied, giving formulas for $\lambda^d(xy)$ and $\lambda^n(\lambda^m(x))$. If x and y are split (sums of line elements) they are satisfied.

$I \subset R$ is splittable if there is an embedding $R \rightarrow S$ such that the elements of I are split in I , and a product of line elements of S is a line element.

- If R is a λ -ring, every $I \subset R$ consisting of finite-dim elements is splittable.
- If R is additively generated by a set a splittable elements, it is a λ -ring.

The previous axioms are not enough to ensure that the λ^d behave exactly like the elementary symmetric functions.

R is a λ -ring if certain additional axioms are satisfied, giving formulas for $\lambda^d(xy)$ and $\lambda^n(\lambda^m(x))$. If x and y are split (sums of line elements) they are satisfied.

$I \subset R$ is splittable if there is an embedding $R \rightarrow S$ such that the elements of I are split in I , and a product of line elements of S is a line element.

- If R is a λ -ring, every $I \subset R$ consisting of finite-dim elements is splittable.
- If R is additively generated by a set a splittable elements, it is a λ -ring.

From λ to ψ

If R is a pre- λ -ring, one can define Adams operations ψ^d using the same equations that express Newton sums in terms of elementary symmetric polynomials. In practice:

$$\psi_{-t}(x) = -t \frac{(\lambda_t(x))'}{\lambda_t(x)}.$$

The ψ^d are always additive. If R is a λ -ring:

- ψ^d is a ring endomorphism.
- $\psi^m \circ \psi^n = \psi^{nm}$
- if p is prime, $\psi^p(x) \equiv x^p \pmod{p}$.

From λ to ψ

If R is a pre- λ -ring, one can define Adams operations ψ^d using the same equations that express Newton sums in terms of elementary symmetric polynomials. In practice:

$$\psi_{-t}(x) = -t \frac{(\lambda_t(x))'}{\lambda_t(x)}.$$

The ψ^d are always additive. If R is a λ -ring:

- ψ^d is a ring endomorphism.
- $\psi^m \circ \psi^n = \psi^{nm}$
- if p is prime, $\psi^p(x) \equiv x^p \pmod{p}$.

Endomorphism property

$$\begin{aligned}\psi^d(\ell_1 + \cdots + \ell_n + \ell_{n+1} + \cdots + \ell_{n+m}) \\&= \ell_1^d + \cdots + \ell_n^d + \ell_{n+1}^d + \cdots + \ell_{n+m}^d \\&= \psi^d(\ell_1 + \cdots + \ell_n) + \psi^d(\ell_{n+1} + \cdots + \ell_{n+m}).\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\psi^d((\ell_1 + \cdots + \ell_n)(\ell'_1 + \cdots + \ell'_m)) \\&= \psi^d(\ell_1 \ell'_1 + \cdots + \ell_n \ell'_m) \\&= \ell_1^d \ell'_1{}^d + \cdots + \ell_n^d \ell'_m{}^d \\&= (\ell_1^d + \cdots + \ell_n^d)(\ell'_1{}^d + \cdots + \ell'_m{}^d) \\&= \psi^d(\ell_1 + \cdots + \ell_n) \psi^d(\ell'_1 + \cdots + \ell'_m).\end{aligned}$$

Endomorphism property

$$\begin{aligned}\psi^d(\ell_1 + \cdots + \ell_n + \ell_{n+1} + \cdots + \ell_{n+m}) \\&= \ell_1^d + \cdots + \ell_n^d + \ell_{n+1}^d + \cdots + \ell_{n+m}^d \\&= \psi^d(\ell_1 + \cdots + \ell_n) + \psi^d(\ell_{n+1} + \cdots + \ell_{n+m}).\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\psi^d((\ell_1 + \cdots + \ell_n)(\ell'_1 + \cdots + \ell'_m)) \\&= \psi^d(\ell_1 \ell'_1 + \cdots + \ell_n \ell'_m) \\&= \ell_1^d \ell'_1{}^d + \cdots + \ell_n^d \ell'_m{}^d \\&= (\ell_1^d + \cdots + \ell_n^d)(\ell'_1{}^d + \cdots + \ell'_m{}^d) \\&= \psi^d(\ell_1 + \cdots + \ell_n) \psi^d(\ell'_1 + \cdots + \ell'_m).\end{aligned}$$

Composition property

$$\begin{aligned} & (\psi^n \circ \psi^m)(\ell_1 + \cdots + \ell_n) \\ &= \psi^n(\ell_1^m + \cdots + \ell_n^m) \\ &= (\ell_1^m)^n + \cdots + (\ell_n^m)^n \\ &= \ell_1^{nm} + \cdots + \ell_n^{nm} \\ &= \psi^{nm}(\ell_1 + \cdots + \ell_n). \end{aligned}$$

Frobenius lifting property

$$\begin{aligned}\psi^p(\ell_1 + \cdots + \ell_n) \\ &= \ell_1^p + \cdots + \ell_n^p \\ &\equiv (\ell_1 + \cdots + \ell_n)^p \pmod{p}.\end{aligned}$$

Trace forms

Let B be a central simple algebra over K . The trace form of B is

$$\begin{aligned} T_B : B \times B &\longrightarrow K \\ (x, y) &\longmapsto \operatorname{Trd}_B(xy) \end{aligned}$$

Example: if $B = M_n(K)$, $T_B = n \in W(K)$.

Let τ be an orthogonal involution of the first kind on B . The involution trace form is:

$$\begin{aligned} T_\tau : B \times B &\longrightarrow K \\ (x, y) &\longmapsto \operatorname{Trd}_B(\tau(x)y) \end{aligned}$$

The restriction of T_τ to ε -symmetric elements is T_τ^ε .

$$\begin{aligned} T_\tau &= T_\tau^+ + T_\tau^- \\ T_B &= T_\tau^+ + \langle -1 \rangle T_\tau^- . \end{aligned}$$

Trace forms

Let B be a central simple algebra over K . The trace form of B is

$$\begin{aligned} T_B : B \times B &\longrightarrow K \\ (x, y) &\longmapsto \operatorname{Trd}_B(xy) \end{aligned}$$

Example: if $B = M_n(K)$, $T_B = n \in W(K)$.

Let τ be an orthogonal involution of the first kind on B . The involution trace form is:

$$\begin{aligned} T_\tau : B \times B &\longrightarrow K \\ (x, y) &\longmapsto \operatorname{Trd}_B(\tau(x)y) \end{aligned}$$

The restriction of T_τ to ε -symmetric elements is T_τ^ε .

$$\begin{aligned} T_\tau &= T_\tau^+ + T_\tau^- \\ T_B &= T_\tau^+ + \langle -1 \rangle T_\tau^- . \end{aligned}$$

Trace forms

Let B be a central simple algebra over K . The trace form of B is

$$\begin{aligned} T_B : B \times B &\longrightarrow K \\ (x, y) &\longmapsto \operatorname{Trd}_B(xy) \end{aligned}$$

Example: if $B = M_n(K)$, $T_B = n \in W(K)$.

Let τ be an orthogonal involution of the first kind on B . The involution trace form is:

$$\begin{aligned} T_\tau : B \times B &\longrightarrow K \\ (x, y) &\longmapsto \operatorname{Trd}_B(\tau(x)y) \end{aligned}$$

The restriction of T_τ to ε -symmetric elements is T_τ^ε .

$$\begin{aligned} T_\tau &= T_\tau^+ + T_\tau^- \\ T_B &= T_\tau^+ + \langle -1 \rangle T_\tau^-. \end{aligned}$$

Mixed Witt ring of an algebra with involution

Let (A, σ) be a central simple algebra with involution of the first kind over K , and $\varepsilon = \pm 1$. To simplify things, assume that σ is orthogonal and $\varepsilon = 1$, or that σ is symplectic and $\varepsilon = -1$.

One can define a pre- λ -ring

$$\widetilde{GW}^\varepsilon(A, \sigma) = GW(K) \oplus GW^\varepsilon(A, \sigma)$$

which is functorial with respect to hermitian Morita equivalences, and such that if h is an ε -hermitian form over (A, σ) with adjoint algebra with involution (B, τ) then

$$\begin{aligned} h^2 &= T_\tau \\ \lambda^2(h) &= \langle 2 \rangle T_\tau^-. \end{aligned}$$

Mixed Witt ring of an algebra with involution

Let (A, σ) be a central simple algebra with involution of the first kind over K , and $\varepsilon = \pm 1$. To simplify things, assume that σ is orthogonal and $\varepsilon = 1$, or that σ is symplectic and $\varepsilon = -1$.

One can define a pre- λ -ring

$$\widetilde{GW}^{\varepsilon}(A, \sigma) = GW(K) \oplus GW^{\varepsilon}(A, \sigma)$$

which is functorial with respect to hermitian Morita equivalences, and such that if h is an ε -hermitian form over (A, σ) with adjoint algebra with involution (B, τ) then

$$\begin{aligned} h^2 &= T_{\tau} \\ \lambda^2(h) &= \langle 2 \rangle T_{\tau}^{-}. \end{aligned}$$

Computation of ψ^d with d odd

If d is odd, $\psi^d : GW^\varepsilon(A, \sigma) \rightarrow GW^\varepsilon(A, \sigma)$.

By a theorem of Karpenko, the generic splitting map

$$GW^\varepsilon(A, \sigma) \rightarrow GW^\varepsilon(A_F, \sigma_F)$$

is injective, so one can compute ψ^d in a split algebra, where it comes down to the case of quadratic forms.

So $\psi^d = \text{Id}$ if d is odd.

Computation of ψ^d with d odd

If d is odd, $\psi^d : GW^\varepsilon(A, \sigma) \rightarrow GW^\varepsilon(A, \sigma)$.

By a theorem of Karpenko, the generic splitting map

$$GW^\varepsilon(A, \sigma) \rightarrow GW^\varepsilon(A_F, \sigma_F)$$

is injective, so one can compute ψ^d in a split algebra, where it comes down to the case of quadratic forms.

So $\psi^d = \text{Id}$ if d is odd.

Computation of ψ^d with d odd

If d is odd, $\psi^d : GW^\varepsilon(A, \sigma) \rightarrow GW^\varepsilon(A, \sigma)$.

By a theorem of Karpenko, the generic splitting map

$$GW^\varepsilon(A, \sigma) \rightarrow GW^\varepsilon(A_F, \sigma_F)$$

is injective, so one can compute ψ^d in a split algebra, where it comes down to the case of quadratic forms.

So $\psi^d = \text{Id}$ if d is odd.

Computation of ψ^2

In general, in a pre- λ -ring,

$$\begin{aligned}x^2 &= \psi^2(x) + 2\lambda^2(x) \\ (\ell_1 + \cdots + \ell_n)^2 &= \ell_1^2 + \cdots + \ell_n^2 + 2(\ell_1\ell_2 + \cdots + \ell_{n-1}\ell_n)\end{aligned}$$

If h is an ε -hermitian form, in $W(K)$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned}h^2 &= T_\tau = T_\tau^+ - T_\tau^- \\ &= (T_\tau^+ + T_\tau^-) - 2T_\tau^- \\ &= T_B - 2\lambda^2(h)\end{aligned}$$

so

$$\psi^2(h) = T_B \in W(K).$$

Computation of ψ^2

In general, in a pre- λ -ring,

$$\begin{aligned}x^2 &= \psi^2(x) + 2\lambda^2(x) \\ (\ell_1 + \cdots + \ell_n)^2 &= \ell_1^2 + \cdots + \ell_n^2 + 2(\ell_1\ell_2 + \cdots + \ell_{n-1}\ell_n)\end{aligned}$$

If h is an ε -hermitian form, in $W(K)$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned}h^2 &= T_\tau = T_\tau^+ - T_\tau^- \\ &= (T_\tau^+ + T_\tau^-) - 2T_\tau^- \\ &= T_B - 2\lambda^2(h)\end{aligned}$$

so

$$\psi^2(h) = T_B \in W(K).$$

Trace forms as twisted integers

Given a central simple algebra B , there is a unique element $\theta(B) \in GW(K)$ with

$$\begin{aligned} [\theta(B)] &= [T_B] \in W(K) \\ \dim(\theta(B)) &= \deg(B). \end{aligned}$$

Given a Brauer class $\alpha \in \text{Br}(K)$ and an integer $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ divisible by $\text{ind}(\alpha)$, there is a unique central simple algebra B , with $[B] = \alpha$ and $\deg(B) = n$, and we can define

$$[n]_\alpha = \theta(B) \in GW(K).$$

We can see $[n]_\alpha$ as a version of n , twisted by the Brauer class α . When $\alpha = 0$, $[n]_\alpha = n$. Then

$$\psi^2(h) = [\text{rdim}(h)]_{[A]}.$$

Trace forms as twisted integers

Given a central simple algebra B , there is a unique element $\theta(B) \in GW(K)$ with

$$\begin{aligned} [\theta(B)] &= [T_B] \in W(K) \\ \dim(\theta(B)) &= \deg(B). \end{aligned}$$

Given a Brauer class $\alpha \in \text{Br}(K)$ and an integer $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ divisible by $\text{ind}(\alpha)$, there is a unique central simple algebra B , with $[B] = \alpha$ and $\deg(B) = n$, and we can define

$$[n]_\alpha = \theta(B) \in GW(K).$$

We can see $[n]_\alpha$ as a version of n , twisted by the Brauer class α . When $\alpha = 0$, $[n]_\alpha = n$. Then

$$\psi^2(h) = [\text{rdim}(h)]_{[A]}.$$

Trace forms as twisted integers

Given a central simple algebra B , there is a unique element $\theta(B) \in GW(K)$ with

$$\begin{aligned} [\theta(B)] &= [T_B] \in W(K) \\ \dim(\theta(B)) &= \deg(B). \end{aligned}$$

Given a Brauer class $\alpha \in \text{Br}(K)$ and an integer $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ divisible by $\text{ind}(\alpha)$, there is a unique central simple algebra B , with $[B] = \alpha$ and $\deg(B) = n$, and we can define

$$[n]_\alpha = \theta(B) \in GW(K).$$

We can see $[n]_\alpha$ as a version of n , twisted by the Brauer class α . When $\alpha = 0$, $[n]_\alpha = n$. Then

$$\psi^2(h) = [\text{rdim}(h)]_{[A]}.$$

Brauer-Witt integers combinatorics

Given some CSA B of degree n and Brauer class α , and some $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we can define

$$\binom{B}{k} = \theta(\lambda^k(B)) = \left[\binom{n}{k} \right]_{k\alpha}$$

so in particular $\binom{B}{1} = \theta(B) = [n]_{\alpha}$, and

$$(1+t)^B = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{B}{k} t^k \in GW(K)[t].$$

When B has exponent 2, then

$$\binom{B}{k} = \begin{cases} \binom{n}{k} & \text{if } k \text{ is even} \\ [\binom{n}{k}]_{\alpha} & \text{if } k \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Brauer-Witt integers combinatorics

Given some CSA B of degree n and Brauer class α , and some $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we can define

$$\binom{B}{k} = \theta(\lambda^k(B)) = \left[\binom{n}{k} \right]_{k\alpha}$$

so in particular $\binom{B}{1} = \theta(B) = [n]_{\alpha}$, and

$$(1+t)^B = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{B}{k} t^k \in GW(K)[t].$$

When B has exponent 2, then

$$\binom{B}{k} = \begin{cases} \binom{n}{k} & \text{if } k \text{ is even} \\ [\binom{n}{k}]_{\alpha} & \text{if } k \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Other Adams operations

If $\widetilde{GW}^\varepsilon(A, \sigma)$ is a λ -ring, then

$$\psi^d(h) = \begin{cases} h & \text{if } d \text{ is odd} \\ \text{rdim}(h) & \text{if } d \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \\ [\text{rdim}(h)]_{[A]} & \text{if } d \equiv 2 \pmod{4} \end{cases}$$

Theorem

If $\text{ind}(A) \leq 2$, then $\widetilde{GW}^\varepsilon(A, \sigma)$ is a λ -ring.

Products of λ -powers of hermitian forms

For a quadratic form q , the products $\lambda^i(q)\lambda^j(q)$ are \mathbb{Z} -linear combinations of the $\lambda^k(q)$. Precisely, if $\dim(q) = n = 2m$:

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_u(q)\lambda_v(q) &= \sum_k (1 + uv)^{n-k} (u + v)^k \lambda^k(q) \\ &= \sum_k (1 + uv)^{2(m-k)} (u + v)^{2k} \lambda^{2k}(q) \\ &\quad + \sum_{k \text{ odd}} (1 + uv)^{n-k} (u + v)^k \lambda^k(q).\end{aligned}$$

In general, we can hope for a similar formula with coefficients in twisted integers. If $A = Q$ is a quaternion algebra and $\text{rdim}(h) = n = 2m$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_u(h)\lambda_v(h) &= \sum_k (1 + uv)^{Q(m-k)} (u + v)^{2k} \lambda^{2k}(q) \\ &\quad + \sum_{k \text{ odd}} (1 + uv)^{n-k} (u + v)^k \lambda^k(q).\end{aligned}$$

Products of λ -powers of hermitian forms

For a quadratic form q , the products $\lambda^i(q)\lambda^j(q)$ are \mathbb{Z} -linear combinations of the $\lambda^k(q)$. Precisely, if $\dim(q) = n = 2m$:

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_u(q)\lambda_v(q) &= \sum_k (1 + uv)^{n-k} (u + v)^k \lambda^k(q) \\ &= \sum_k (1 + uv)^{2(m-k)} (u + v)^{2k} \lambda^{2k}(q) \\ &\quad + \sum_{k \text{ odd}} (1 + uv)^{n-k} (u + v)^k \lambda^k(q).\end{aligned}$$

In general, we can hope for a similar formula with coefficients in twisted integers. If $A = Q$ is a quaternion algebra and $\text{rdim}(h) = n = 2m$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_u(h)\lambda_v(h) &= \sum_k (1 + uv)^{Q(m-k)} (u + v)^{2k} \lambda^{2k}(q) \\ &\quad + \sum_{k \text{ odd}} (1 + uv)^{n-k} (u + v)^k \lambda^k(q).\end{aligned}$$

Products of λ -powers of hermitian forms

For a quadratic form q , the products $\lambda^i(q)\lambda^j(q)$ are \mathbb{Z} -linear combinations of the $\lambda^k(q)$. Precisely, if $\dim(q) = n = 2m$:

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_u(q)\lambda_v(q) &= \sum_k (1 + uv)^{n-k} (u + v)^k \lambda^k(q) \\ &= \sum_k (1 + uv)^{2(m-k)} (u + v)^{2k} \lambda^{2k}(q) \\ &\quad + \sum_{k \text{ odd}} (1 + uv)^{n-k} (u + v)^k \lambda^k(q).\end{aligned}$$

In general, we can hope for a similar formula with coefficients in twisted integers. If $A = Q$ is a quaternion algebra and $\text{rdim}(h) = n = 2m$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_u(h)\lambda_v(h) &= \sum_k (1 + uv)^{Q(m-k)} (u + v)^{2k} \lambda^{2k}(q) \\ &\quad + \sum_{k \text{ odd}} (1 + uv)^{n-k} (u + v)^k \lambda^k(q).\end{aligned}$$